UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

11 '1 101 1	C A .	
United States	OT AMARICA	
Office Graces	oi Ailicilea	

٧.

Stephen Mendina Bascom

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

Stephen Mendina Bascom	Case Number: CR-18-0991-01-PHX-SPL
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18	U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held
I conclude that the following facts are esta	blished: (Check one or both, as applicable.)

- by clear and convincing evidence the defendant is a danger to the community and require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.
- by a preponderance of the evidence the defendant is a flight risk and require the detention X of the defendant pending trial in this case.

PART I -- FINDINGS OF FACT

(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed ⋈ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §§ 801 et seq., 951 et seq, or 46 U.S.C. App. § 1901 et seq.
 □ an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332(b). □ an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) (Federal crimes of terrorism) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed. □ an offense involving a minor victim prescribed in .¹
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community.
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant

PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION

(Check one or both, as applicable.)

		(1) I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
		(2) I find by a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that: The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona.
		The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of .
	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report except:	
\boxtimes	In ad	dition:
	The `	Yuma warrant is valid, still in effect, with full extradition.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings in the Pretrial Services Report which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Judge. Pursuant to Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P., Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the District Court. Failure to timely file objections may waive the right to review. See Rule 59, FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Judge to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 26th day of July, 2018.

Honorable Deborah M. Fine United States Magistrate Judge